

## OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY (INTERNAL) COMMITTEE – Updated for 28 Sept 2021

### Action List

Minute No. / Date	Action	Person responsible for Action	Most recent Update	Action Status
Minute 59 9 Feb 2021	<p><u>Minutes – Matters Arising</u></p> <p>Minute 57 – Consideration of the Forward Plan: criteria, scoring and weighting of Capital PIDs. To circulate current Capital PIDs document.</p>	Dem Services	<p>Response from Major Projects &amp; Estates Manager 2/3/2021 – “PID documents for the new capital PIDs have not yet been written.”</p> <p>Meeting 17.8.21 - Ongoing</p>	<p>Completed</p> <p>Report on agenda for meeting 28<sup>th</sup> Sept</p>
Minute 109 17 August 21	<p><u>QBR 1</u></p> <p>Discussed the housing situation and it was agreed that Jan Williams and Helen Paige to be invited to attend the next meeting to discuss the current situation and options going forward</p>	Dem Services	<p>19.8.21 - Email sent inviting Jan Williams, Public Health &amp; Housing Manager to attend next meeting – 28 September at 10am.</p> <p>19.8.21 – Jan responded ok to attend with Helen Paige.</p>	Completed
Minute 109 17 August	<p>QBR - Cllr Hodson recommended that this Council actively market money and debt management advice. It was agreed this is a matter for External O&amp;S.</p>	Dem Services	<p>19.8.21 – Email sent to Cllr Manley as Chair of External and Karen, Dem Services</p> <p>Cllr Manley acknowledged email and asked that Karen either add to Forward Plan or as an item on the next Agenda.</p>	Completed

<p>Minute 109 17 August</p>	<p>QBR - Capital Narrative - £157,000 for Burrows Estuary Work. DH to look at Capital PiD to see what this is for and to report back to Committee.</p>	<p>Finance Manager</p>	<p>19.8.21 - Email sent to David Heyes.</p> <p>20.8.21 – David Heyes, Finance Manager (Section 151 Officer) sent the following response:</p> <p>The original Capital PiD was written back in 2104 (subsequently revised for inflation pressures) the original purpose of the Capital PiD was to fund additional rock armour protection at Westward Ho!</p> <p>Subsequently the Council over the last few years have undertaken such works to recharge the revetment and maintain the membrane protecting the land fill site. We are unable to provide additional rock armour in this location, but expect this recharge work to be an ongoing commitment in the years ahead.</p> <p>With the benefit of hindsight monies should have been set aside into a reserve to meet such repairs as the need arises, rather than create a Capital PiD for works which could not be clearly defined. It is proposed to remove this PiD from the Capital program and if Finances allow at the close of the current financial year formally set aside monies into a reserve for future repair works to the Pebble Ridge.</p>	<p>Completed</p>
---------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	------------------

<p>Minute 109 17 August</p>	<p><u>QBR – Q&amp;A Supplement – page 3</u> <u>Attracting Inward Investment</u></p> <p>Confusion as to the number of new jobs to be created. Chris Dobbs checked this figure with SK and CF who are now looking into this to get a more accurate figure. Ongoing.</p>	<p>Jon W/Chris D/SK and CF</p>	<p>19.8.21 - Email sent to Jon W and Chris Dobbs.</p> <p>14.09.21 - Email from Chris Dobbs - I changed the text in the QBR to read “The inward investment scheme was to be launched as we entered the COVID Pandemic and has been on ice since that time in order that we reassess its suitability for the local economy given the impacts of the pandemic.”</p> <p>Response from Sean Kearney, Head of Communities &amp; Place - I think the 18 jobs were intended to be created by the Job creation programme (the scheme to support employers to take on their first employee) and not in relation to inward investment. That scheme was to be launched as we entered the Covid Pandemic and has been on ice since that time in order that we reassess it’s suitability for the local economy given the impacts of the pandemic. It would not be possible to accurately estimate jobs created by inward investment activity as set out in the action and therefore I suggest the target is removed because we will not be able to report against it.</p>	<p>Completed</p>